person, but give pathos to the contrast which  
follows.

**20.]** This description of the  
traitor here again does not seem to *designate one*especially, nor to describe an  
action at that moment proceeding, but, as  
before, pathetically to describe the near  
relation of the betrayer to the Betrayed.  
Now however the relation pointed out is  
still closer than before—it is that of one  
dipping in the same dish—one of  
nearest and most trusted.  
  
**22–25.]** {22} INSTITUTION OF THE LORD’s  
SUPPER. Matt. xxvi. 26–29. Luke xxii.  
19, 20. 1 Cor. xi, 23–25. See notes on  
Matthew.  
  
**26–31.]** DECLARATION THAT ALL  
SHOULD FORSAKE HIM. CONFIDENCE  
OF PETER. Matt. xxvi. 30–35 (see Luke  
xxii, 31–34, and notes there). Our   
account is almost verbatim the same as that  
in Matthew, where see notes. The few  
differences are there commented on.

**30.]** Notice the climax: **this day**, but not  
only this—**in this night**, the part of it now  
present: nor only so, but **before the cock  
crow twice**, i.e long before the night is  
over.

**31.] spake the more vehemently**—  
the original implies, **went on repeating  
superabundant**

**32–42.]** OUR LORD’S AGONY AT